



**STATE OF NEW JERSEY**  
**Board of Public Utilities**  
44 South Clinton Avenue, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor  
Post Office Box 350  
Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0350  
[www.nj.gov/bpu/](http://www.nj.gov/bpu/)

ENERGY AND CLEAN ENERGY

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF NEW )  
JERSEY NATURAL GAS COMPANY FOR )  
APPROVAL OF NEW ENERGY EFFICIENCY, )  
BUILDING DECARBONIZATION START-UP, AND )  
DEMAND RESPONSE PROGRAMS AND THE )  
ASSOCIATED COST RECOVERY MECHANISM )  
PURSUANT TO THE CLEAN ENERGY ACT, N.J.S.A. )  
48:3-87.8 ET SEQ. AND 48:3-98.1 ET SEQ. SECOND )  
TRIENNium

ORDER RULING ON MOTIONS TO  
INTERVENE OR PARTICIPATE

DOCKET NO. QO23120868

**Parties of Record:**

**Brian O. Lipman, Esq.**, Director, New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel  
**Andrew K. Dembia, Esq.**, Regulatory Affairs Counsel, New Jersey Natural Gas Company  
**John Kolesnik, Esq.**, Counsel for the Energy Efficiency Alliance of New Jersey  
**Steven S. Goldenberg, Esq.**, Counsel for the New Jersey Large Energy Users Coalition  
**Kaitlin Morrison, Esq.**, Counsel for the New Jersey Progressive Equitable Energy Coalition, the  
Natural Resources Defense Council, and the Sierra Club

BY COMMISSIONER MARIAN ABDOU:

**BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

***The New Jersey Clean Energy Act of 2018***

On May 23, 2018, Governor Murphy signed the Clean Energy Act into law ("CEA"). The CEA mandates that New Jersey's electric and gas public utilities increase their role in delivering energy efficiency ("EE") and peak demand reduction ("PDR") programs. The CEA further directs the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities ("Board") to require the electric and gas utilities to reduce customer use of electricity and natural gas in their respective service territories.

Specifically, the CEA directs the Board to require:

(a) each electric public utility to achieve, within its territory by its customers, annual reductions of at least 2% of the average annual electricity usage in the prior three years within five years of implementation of its electric energy efficiency program; and

(b) each natural gas public utility to achieve, within its territory by its customers, annual reductions in the use of natural gas of at least 0.75% of the average annual natural gas usage in the prior three years within five years of implementation of its gas energy efficiency program.<sup>1</sup>

### ***Triennium 1***

By Order dated June 10, 2020, the Board approved, pursuant to the CEA, utility programs that reduce the use of electricity and natural gas within the utilities' territories.<sup>2</sup> In the June 2020 Order, the Board directed the utilities to file three-year program petitions by September 25, 2020 for approval by the Board by May 1, 2021 and implementation from July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2024 ("Triennium 1").

By Order dated March 3, 2021, the Board approved a stipulation of settlement authorizing New Jersey Natural Gas Company ("NJNG" or "Company") to implement its SAVEGREEN 2020 Program.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> N.J.S.A. 48:3-87.9(a).

<sup>2</sup> In re the Implementation of P.L. 2018, c. 17 Regarding the Establishment of Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Programs, BPU Docket Nos. QO19010040, QO19060748, and QO17091004, Order dated June 10, 2020 ("June 2020 Order").

<sup>3</sup> In re the Petition of New Jersey Natural Gas Company for Approval of Energy Efficiency Program and the Associated Cost Recovery Mechanism Pursuant to the Clean Energy Act, N.J.S.A. 48:3-87.8 et seq. and 48:3-98.1 et seq., BPU Docket No. GO20090622, Order dated March 3, 2021.

## ***Triennium 2***

By Order dated May 24, 2023, the Board directed each electric and gas public utility to propose, for Board approval, EE programs for the second three-year EE program period ("Triennium 2") on or before October 2, 2023, and the Board addressed certain aspects of the Triennium 2 framework.<sup>4</sup> By Order dated July 26, 2023, the Board approved the remaining aspects of the Triennium 2 framework.<sup>5</sup>

By Order dated September 27, 2023, the Board extended the filing deadline for Triennium 2 petitions from October 2, 2023 to December 1, 2023 and directed that any entities seeking to intervene or participate in this matter file the appropriate application with the Board by December 8, 2023 and that entities file with the Board any responses to those motions by December 14, 2023.<sup>6</sup> By the September 2023 Order, the Board retained this matter for hearing and, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-32, designated President Guhl-Sadovy as Presiding Commissioner in this matter. By Order dated October 25, 2023, the Board delayed the start of Triennium 2 by six (6) months from July 1, 2024 until January 1, 2025.<sup>7</sup>

By Order dated January 10, 2024, the Board redesignated President Guhl-Sadovy as the Presiding Commissioner for the Public Service Electric and Gas Company ("PSE&G") filing and designated myself, Commissioner Abdou, as the Presiding Commissioner in this matter,

---

<sup>4</sup> In re the Implementation of P.L. 2018, c. 17, the New Jersey Clean Energy Act of 2018, Regarding the Establishment of Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Programs; In re the Implementation of P.L. 2018, c. 17, the New Jersey Clean Energy Act of 2018, Regarding the Second Triennium of Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Programs; In re Electric Public Utilities and Gas Public Utilities Offering Energy Efficiency and Conservation Programs, Investing in Class I Renewable Energy Resources and Offering Class I Renewable Energy Programs in Their Respective Service Territories on a Regulated Basis, Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:3-98.1 and N.J.S.A. 48:3-87.9 - Minimum Filing Requirements, BPU Docket Nos. QO19010040, QO23030150, and QO17091004, Order dated May 24, 2023 ("May 2023 Order").

<sup>5</sup> In re the Implementation of P.L. 2018, c. 17, the New Jersey Clean Energy Act of 2018, Regarding the Establishment of Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Programs; In re the Implementation of P.L. 2018, c. 17, the New Jersey Clean Energy Act of 2018, Regarding the Second Triennium of Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Programs; In re Electric Public Utilities and Gas Public Utilities Offering Energy Efficiency and Conservation Programs, Investing in Class I Renewable Energy Resources and Offering Class I Renewable Energy Programs in Their Respective Service Territories on a Regulated Basis, Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:3-98.1 and N.J.S.A. 48:3-87.9 - Minimum Filing Requirements, BPU Docket Nos. QO19010040, QO23030150, and QO17091004, Order dated July 26, 2023.

<sup>6</sup> In re the Implementation of P.L. 2018, c. 17, the New Jersey Clean Energy Act of 2018, Regarding the Establishment of Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Programs; In re the Implementation of P.L. 2018, c. 17, the New Jersey Clean Energy Act of 2018, Regarding the Second Triennium of Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Programs; In re Electric Public Utilities and Gas Public Utilities Offering Energy Efficiency and Conservation Programs, Investing in Class I Renewable Energy Resources and Offering Class I Renewable Energy Programs in Their Respective Service Territories on a Regulated Basis, Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:3-98.1 and N.J.S.A. 48:3-87.9 - Minimum Filing Requirements, BPU Docket Nos. QO19010040, QO23030150, and QO17091004, Order dated September 27, 2023 ("September 2023 Order"). The September 2023 Order also directed that any entity wishing to file a motion for admission of counsel, *pro hac vice*, should do so concurrently with any motion to intervene or participate. No entity filed a motion for admission *pro hac vice* in this matter.

<sup>7</sup> In re the Implementation of P.L. 2018, c. 17, the New Jersey Clean Energy Act of 2018, Regarding the Second Triennium of Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Programs, BPU Docket No. QO23030150, Order dated October 25, 2023 ("October 2023 Order"). The October 2023 Order also extended Triennium 1 through December 31, 2024.

authorized to rule on all motions that arise during the pendency of this proceeding and modify schedules that may be set as necessary to secure a just and expeditious determination of all issues.<sup>8</sup>

### **DECEMBER 2023 PETITION**

On December 1, 2023, NJNG filed the requisite petition with the Board ("Petition"). In the Petition, the Company proposed a total budget of approximately \$482 million for its EE programs over a 30-month period from January 1, 2025 through June 30, 2027. The proposed programs and associated costs are summarized in the table below:

<b>Category</b>	<b>Sector</b>	<b>Program</b>	<b>Total</b>
Core	Residential	Whole Home	\$47,909,532
		Income Qualified	\$38,894,054
		EE Products	\$133,543,299
		Behavioral	\$5,636,832
	Commercial	Energy Solutions	\$76,944,658
		Prescriptive and Custom	\$14,876,085
		Direct Install	\$56,910,813
Multifamily	Multifamily	\$34,424,692	
Utility-Led		Building Decarbonization	\$25,326,867
		Demand Response	\$7,512,087
		Next Generation Savings	\$5,189,583
Other Portfolio Costs		Workforce Development	\$1,507,381
		Community Outreach	\$750,000
Net Utility Transfers			\$33,000,000
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$482,425,883</b>

In addition to approval of the plan to implement the EE programs, the Company requested approval of a cost recovery mechanism. Specifically, NJNG requested the use of deferred accounting for all costs associated with the SAVEGREEN Programs (grant costs, customer incentives, operations and maintenance expenses, amortization expense, return on investment, and income taxes). NJNG proposed that the costs be recovered through a per-therm charge applicable to all jurisdictional volumes in NJNG's system. Investments associated with the SAVEGREEN program would be amortized over a 10-year period for the direct investment and over seven (7)- or ten (10)- year periods for on-bill repayment from the month from which they were incurred. The Company proposed that the recovery be through the Rider F of the NJNG Tariff. NJNG proposed that any variance between costs and recoveries would accrue interest at a rate equal to the Company's monthly commercial paper rate. If commercial paper was not utilized by the Company in the preceding month, the last calculated rate would be used. The interest rate shall not exceed the Company's rate of return. Interest on over/under recovery balances would be calculated using simple interest, based on the average recovery balances for

<sup>8</sup> In re the Implementation of P.L. 2018, c. 17, the New Jersey Clean Energy Act of 2018, Regarding the Second Triennium of Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Programs et al., BPU Docket Nos. QO23030150, QO23120868, QO23120869, QO23120870, QO23120871, QO23120872, QO23120874, and QO23120875, Order dated January 10, 2024 ("January 2024 Order"). By the January 2024 Order, the Board additionally redesignated Commissioner Abdou as the Presiding Commissioner for the Elizabethtown Gas Company ("ETG") and South Jersey Gas Company ("SJG") filings, BPU Docket Nos. QO23120869 and QO23120870.

the month, on a net-of-tax basis and shall be rolled into the EE balance at the end of each EE recovery year. NJNG requested that the rates be effective on January 1, 2025, the commencement date of the Triennium 2 programs.

NJNG estimated that the rate impact of the EE programs for a typical residential heating customer using 1,000 therms of natural gas per year is expected to average \$44.83 per year, or 3.0 percent, over the 2025-2037 period and is expected to peak at \$77.80 in October 2026.

On December 26, 2023, Board Staff ("Staff") issued NJNG a letter of administrative deficiency ("Letter") identifying administratively incomplete portions of the Petition and requesting that the Company cure any deficiencies. On January 2, 2024, NJNG filed an update to the Petition to cure the deficiencies identified in the Letter ("Update").

In the January 2024 Order, the Board directed that any entity wishing to file a motion for leave to intervene or participate, or to update a previously-filed motion for leave to intervene or participate, in this proceeding shall have until seven (7) days following Staff's issuance of a letter of administrative completeness to the Company.<sup>9</sup>

On January 12, 2024, Staff issued a letter of administrative completeness, noting that the Update adequately cured the deficiencies identified in the Letter and that Staff therefore determined the Petition to be administratively complete. The Board subsequently received no additional or updated motions seeking leave to intervene or participate.

## **THE MOTIONS**

### **Motions to Intervene**

#### *New Jersey Large Energy Users Coalition*

On December 7, 2023, the New Jersey Large Energy Users Coalition ("NJLEUC") filed a Motion to Intervene in this proceeding, noting that it is an association whose members include large natural gas distribution customers served by NJNG. NJLEUC asserted that it has a significant interest in the outcome of this proceeding because NJNG has proposed a cost recovery mechanism for the SAVEGREEN Program initiative through the existing Rider "F" to the Company's tariff. NJLEUC noted that its interests are significantly different from any other party because it has unique insight regarding the potential costs to large usage-based customers and the impact these costs would have on New Jersey's business community, economy, and tax base. NJLEUC further noted that it will endeavor to work cooperatively with other parties in this proceeding to ensure administrative efficiency.

#### *Energy Efficiency Alliance of New Jersey*

On December 8, 2023, the Energy Efficiency Alliance of New Jersey ("EEA-NJ") filed a Motion to Intervene in this proceeding, noting that it is a 501(c)(6) trade association that, together with the Keystone Energy Efficiency Alliance, represents more than 60 business members. EEA-NJ noted that these members manufacture, design, and implement EE improvements in buildings across

<sup>9</sup> In re the Implementation of P.L. 2018, c. 17, the New Jersey Clean Energy Act of 2018, Regarding the Second Triennium of Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Programs et al., BPU Docket Nos. QO23030150, QO23120868, QO23120869, QO23120870, QO23120871, QO23120872, QO23120874, and QO23120875, Order dated January 10, 2024.

Pennsylvania and New Jersey on behalf of regulated utilities, the State of New Jersey, and ratepayers. EEA-NJ asserted that the proposed programs would directly affect the utilization of their services and products. EEA-NJ also represented that its interests in the proceeding are unique and not adequately represented by any other party, and that its members can offer valuable perspectives on the design and implementation of the proposed programs. Finally, EEA-NJ stated that its intervention will not cause confusion or undue delay since it will coordinate its representation with similarly situated parties to the extent that it deems such coordination appropriate.

#### *Natural Resources Defense Council*

On December 8, 2023, the Natural Resources Defense Council ("NRDC") and the New Jersey Progressive Equitable Energy Coalition ("NJPEEC") filed a Motion to Intervene in this proceeding. The two (2) entities both moved to intervene in a single motion which provides separate, though sometimes overlapping, justifications for intervention by each party individually.

NRDC noted that it is a global nonprofit membership organization with a mission to ensure the rights of all people to the air, water, and the wild. NRDC indicated that it has more than 410,000 dues-paying members globally, including more than 12,000 in New Jersey and many in NJNG's service territory. NRDC asserted that its members are specifically and directly affected by the outcome of this case because NRDC has long-standing initiatives to promote cost-effective EE programs implemented by regulated electric and gas utilities. Additionally, NRDC argued that, as NJNG seeks ratepayer dollars to fund its proposed EE programs, the outcome of the case will affect NRDC's members who are NJNG customers. NRDC stated that its interests are substantially different from those of other parties, due to its unique position as a nonprofit working to inform energy policy that benefits the environment. NRDC indicated that it will work with other parties to ensure that they avoid duplicating efforts and to prevent confusion and undue delay. In addition, NRDC stated that it will strictly abide by the schedule and other rulings.

#### *New Jersey Progressive Equitable Energy Coalition*

On December 8, 2023, the NRDC and the NJPEEC filed a Motion to Intervene. The two (2) entities both moved to intervene in a single motion which provides separate, though sometimes overlapping, justifications for intervention by each party individually.

NJPEEC stated that it is a nonprofit Black- and Brown-led coalition whose stated goals include ensuring that overburdened communities and environmental justice ("EJ") communities receive an equitable share of the benefits from new clean energy systems being developed in New Jersey. NJPEEC argued that its intervention would add constructively to the proceedings by bringing its expertise and experience advocating on behalf of EJ communities in New Jersey. NJPEEC indicated that it has participated in similar proceedings in the past, which include filing public comments on New Jersey's Environmental Justice Regulations and by being appointed to state working groups on building electrification and EE. NJPEEC characterized its interest in the case as sufficiently different from other parties due to its position as a Black- and Brown-led organization advocating on behalf of EJ communities in New Jersey. NJPEEC indicated that it will work with other parties to ensure that they avoid duplicating efforts and to prevent confusion and undue delay. In addition, NJPEEC stated that it will strictly abide by the schedule and other rulings.

### *The Sierra Club*

On December 18, 2023, the Sierra Club filed a Supplemental Motion for Intervention, to join in the December 8, 2023 Motion to Intervene filed by co-movants NJPEEC and NRDC.

The Sierra Club indicated that it is a grassroots environmental organization, with more than 680,000 members across the country, including 18,000 in New Jersey. The Sierra Club asserted that its mission includes protecting and restoring the environment and promoting the responsible use of the earth's resources. The Sierra Club asserted that it has developed expertise in EE programs through its work at the local, state, and national levels. The Sierra Club further indicated that the outcome of this proceeding will directly affect its members in New Jersey, particularly those who are NJNG customers. Additionally, the Sierra Club asserted that its initiatives to promote cost-effective EE programs will be influenced by the Board's decisions. The Sierra Club also stated that it has previously been granted intervenor status by the Board in various EE matters and that its interests are unique due to its nonprofit status. Finally, the Sierra Club stated that its participation would not cause confusion or undue delay because it will abide by all schedules and rulings and it intends to work alongside NJPEEC and NRDC.

### Motions to Participate

#### *ACE, ETG, JCP&L, PSE&G, RECO, and SJG*

On December 8, 2023, Atlantic City Electric Company ("ACE"), ETG, Jersey Central Power & Light Company ("JCP&L"), PSE&G, Rockland Electric Company ("RECO"), and SJG (collectively "Joint Movants") submitted a Joint Motion to Participate in this matter. The Joint Movants stated that they are public utility corporations incorporated in New Jersey and engaged in the transmission, distribution, and sale of electricity or gas for residential, commercial, and industrial purposes in New Jersey. The Joint Movants claimed a significant interest in the outcome of this proceeding because the substantive policy and procedural requirements established in this proceeding are likely to have a precedential effect on proceedings involving the other utilities. The Joint Movants further noted that their interest as investor-owned electric or gas utilities serving retail customers are materially different from that of NJNG and the other parties. Finally, the Joint Movants stated that their participation would not cause delay or confusion because they would each abide by any schedule set for the proceeding.

#### *Uplight, Inc.*

On December 8, 2023, Uplight, Inc. ("Uplight") filed a Motion to Participate in this proceeding, noting that it is a technology provider to more than 80 electric and gas utilities across North America, including within New Jersey. Uplight's software provides customer engagement and demand management solutions to assist in achieving energy and carbon reduction goals. Uplight asserted that its experience maintaining similar energy efficiency programs nationwide would provide a tangible benefit to this proceeding. Additionally, Uplight indicated that it already participates in EE programs within New Jersey and, therefore, has an interest in the outcome of this proceeding. Uplight stated that its participation would not cause undue delay or confusion because it would coordinate its representation with similarly situated parties to the extent that it finds such coordination feasible.

## RESPONSES

### *NJNG*

On December 14, 2023, NJNG submitted a letter responding to the filed Motions to Intervene or Participate. By its letter response, NJNG indicated that it did not oppose the Motions to Participate filed by the Joint Movants and Uplight. NJNG stated that it did not object to any of the Motions to Intervene filed by EEA-NJ, NJLEUC, NRDC, and NJPEEC. On December 21, 2023, NJNG submitted a response to the Sierra Club's supplemental Motion to Intervene and indicated that it did not oppose the Motion.

### *Rate Counsel*

On December 14, 2023, the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel ("Rate Counsel") submitted a letter responding to the filed Motions to Intervene or Participate. By its letter response, Rate Counsel indicated that it did not oppose the Motions to Participate filed by the Joint Movants and Uplight. Additionally, Rate Counsel indicated that it did not oppose the Motions to Intervene filed by NJLEUC, NRDC, and NJPEEC. On December 20, 2023, Rate Counsel submitted a response to the Sierra Club's supplemental Motion to Intervene and indicated that it did not oppose the Motion.

Rate Counsel opposed EEA-NJ's Motion to Intervene, arguing that, while EEA-NJ has potential economic interest in successful implementation of NJNG's programs, it failed to assert a legally protected right under N.J.S.A. Title 48 to receive work from NJNG. Rate Counsel further stated that it did not oppose participant status for EEA-NJ instead.

### *EEA-NJ*

On December 20, 2023, EEA-NJ submitted a letter reply to Rate Counsel's opposition, noting that it will be substantially, specifically, and directly affected by the outcome of this case, despite having no legally protected right to intervene under N.J.S.A. Title 48. EEA-NJ further argued that Rate Counsel did not adequately explain why EEA-NJ would not be substantially, specifically, and directly affected by the outcome of this case and that EEA-NJ was granted intervenor status in numerous Triennium 1 proceedings because the Board found it would be directly affected by their outcomes.

EEA-NJ further argued that its interests differ from those of any other party because, as an EE trade organization, it can add directly and measurably to this proceeding through its member organizations' direct, extensive knowledge of the establishment and execution of State- and utility-run EE programs. EEA-NJ further noted that it has continually been a "constructive and unique presence in the Board's numerous stakeholder meetings often offering comments" and due to its historical involvement in EE proceedings in New Jersey should be granted intervenor status.



## DISCUSSION AND FINDINGS

In ruling on a motion to intervene, N.J.A.C. 1:1-16.3(a) requires that the decision-maker consider the following factors:

1. The nature and extent of the moving party's interest in the outcome of the case;
2. Whether that interest is sufficiently different from that of any other party so as to add measurably and constructively to the scope of the case;
3. The prospect for confusion and delay arising from inclusion of the party; and
4. Other appropriate matters.

If the standard for intervention is not met, N.J.A.C. 1:1-16.5 provides for a more limited form of involvement in the proceeding as a "participant" if, in the discretion of the trier of fact, the addition of the moving party is likely to add constructively to the case without causing undue delay or confusion. Under N.J.A.C. 1:1-16.6(c), such participation is limited to the right to argue orally, or file a statement or brief, or file exceptions, or all of these as determined by the trier of fact.

As the Board noted in previous proceedings, application of these standards involves an implicit balancing test. The need and desire for development of a full and complete record that involves consideration of a diversity of interests must be weighed against the requirement of the New Jersey Administrative Code, which recognizes the need for prompt and expeditious administrative proceedings by requiring that an intervenor's interest be specific, direct, and different from that of the other parties so as to add measurably and constructively to the scope of the case.<sup>10</sup>

### Motions to Intervene

After consideration of the papers, and given the lack of any objections, I **HEREBY FIND**, pursuant to N.J.A.C. 1:1-16.3, that NJLEUC, NRDC, NJPEEC, and the Sierra Club will be directly affected by the outcome of this proceeding and will add measurably and constructively to the case without causing undue delay or confusion. I **FURTHER FIND** that NJLEUC, NRDC, NJPEEC, and the Sierra Club have met the standards for intervention in this proceeding. Accordingly, I **HEREBY GRANT** the motions for intervention of NJLEUC, NRDC, NJPEEC, and the Sierra Club pursuant to the authority granted to me by the Board under the January 2024 Order.

Regarding EEA-NJ's Motion to Intervene, Rate Counsel indicated that it opposed granting intervenor status because EEA-NJ failed to demonstrate either a statutory right to intervene or a legally protected right to intervene under N.J.S.A. Title 48 to receive work from NJNG. However, EEA-NJ represents more than 60 business members directly involved in the planning and implementation of EE programs in New Jersey. Additionally, EEA-NJ constructively participated in numerous Triennium 1 proceedings and has a direct interest in the outcome of this matter because its constituent members specifically design and implement EE programs throughout New Jersey. EEA-NJ is expected to add constructively to this proceeding via input gleaned from its extensive experience with EE programs specific to New Jersey. As such, I **HEREBY FIND**, pursuant to N.J.A.C. 1:1-16.3, that EEA-NJ will be directly affected by the outcome of this

---

<sup>10</sup> See In re the Joint Petition of Public Service Electric and Gas Company and Exelon Corporation for Approval of a Change in Control, BPU Docket No. EM05020106, Order dated June 8, 2005.

proceeding and will add measurably and constructively to the case without causing undue delay or confusion. I **FURTHER FIND** that EEA-NJ has met the standards for intervention in this proceeding. Accordingly, I **HEREBY GRANT** EEA-NJ's Motion to Intervene.

**Motions to Participate**

With regard to the Joint Motion to Participate filed by the Joint Movants, I **HEREBY FIND**, pursuant to N.J.A.C. 1:1-16.6(b), that the Joint Movants' participation in this matter is likely to add constructively to the case without causing undue delay or confusion. Accordingly, I **HEREBY GRANT** the Joint Motions to Participate filed on behalf of ACE, ETG, JCP&L, PSE&G, RECO, and SJG, limited to the right to argue orally and file a statement or brief as set forth in N.J.A.C. 1:1-16.6(c)(1) and (2).

Concerning the Motion to Participate filed by Uplight, I **HEREBY FIND**, pursuant to N.J.A.C. 1:1-16.6(b), that Uplight's participation in this matter is likely to add constructively to the case without causing undue delay or confusion. Accordingly, I **HEREBY GRANT** Uplight's Motion to Participate in this proceeding, limited to the right to argue orally and file statements or briefs as set forth in N.J.A.C. 1:1-16.6(c)(1) and (2).


I **HEREBY DIRECT** that this Order be posted on the Board's website.

This provisional ruling is subject to ratification or other alteration by the Board as it deems appropriate during the proceedings in this matter.

DATED:

*2/26/24*

BY:



MARIAN ABDOU  
COMMISSIONER

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF NEW JERSEY NATURAL GAS COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF NEW ENERGY EFFICIENCY, BUILDING DECARBONIZATION START-UP, AND DEMAND RESPONSE PROGRAMS AND THE ASSOCIATED COST RECOVERY MECHANISM PURSUANT TO THE CLEAN ENERGY ACT, N.J.S.A. 48:3-87.8 ET SEQ. AND 48:3-98.1 ET SEQ. SECOND TRIENNIUM

DOCKET NO. QO23120868

SERVICE LIST

**New Jersey Board of Public Utilities**

44 South Clinton Avenue, 1st Floor  
Post Office Box 350  
Trenton, NJ 08625-0350

Sherri Golden, Board Secretary  
[board.secretary@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:board.secretary@bpu.nj.gov)

Robert Brabston, Esq., Executive Director  
[robert.brabston@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:robert.brabston@bpu.nj.gov)

Stacy Peterson, Deputy Executive Director  
[stacy.peterson@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:stacy.peterson@bpu.nj.gov)

General Counsel's Office

Michael Beck, General Counsel  
[michael.beck@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:michael.beck@bpu.nj.gov)

Carol Artale, Deputy General Counsel  
[carol.artale@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:carol.artale@bpu.nj.gov)

Steven Athanassopoulos  
[steven.athanassopoulos@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:steven.athanassopoulos@bpu.nj.gov)

Rachel Boylan  
[rachel.boylan@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:rachel.boylan@bpu.nj.gov)

Office of the Economist

Benjamin Witherell, Ph.D., Chief Economist  
[benjamin.witherell@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:benjamin.witherell@bpu.nj.gov)

Dianne Crilly  
[dianne.crilly@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:dianne.crilly@bpu.nj.gov)

Jackie O'Grady  
[jackie.ogrady@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:jackie.ogrady@bpu.nj.gov)

Division of State Energy Services

Sara Bluhm Gibson, Director  
[sara.bluhm@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:sara.bluhm@bpu.nj.gov)

Michelle Rossi  
[michelle.rossi@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:michelle.rossi@bpu.nj.gov)

**NJBPU, cont'd.**

Division of Revenue and Rates

Andrew Tuzzo  
[andrew.tuzzo@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:andrew.tuzzo@bpu.nj.gov)

Cindy Bianco  
[cindy.bianco@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:cindy.bianco@bpu.nj.gov)

Division of Clean Energy

Stacy Ho Richardson, Deputy Director  
[stacy.richardson@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:stacy.richardson@bpu.nj.gov)

Kevin Monte de Ramos  
Bureau Chief, Energy Efficiency and Building  
Decarbonization  
[kevin.montederamos@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:kevin.montederamos@bpu.nj.gov)

Kevin Nedza  
[kevin.nedza@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:kevin.nedza@bpu.nj.gov)

Philip Chao  
[philip.chao@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:philip.chao@bpu.nj.gov)

Rupa Deshmukh  
[rupa.deshmukh@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:rupa.deshmukh@bpu.nj.gov)

Paul Heitmann  
[paul.heitmann@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:paul.heitmann@bpu.nj.gov)

Indrani Pal  
[indrani.pal@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:indrani.pal@bpu.nj.gov)

Brandee Sullivan  
[brandee.sullivan@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:brandee.sullivan@bpu.nj.gov)

David Titus  
[david.titus@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:david.titus@bpu.nj.gov)

Alexis Trautman  
[alexis.trautman@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:alexis.trautman@bpu.nj.gov)

Dustin Wang  
[dustin.wang@bpu.nj.gov](mailto:dustin.wang@bpu.nj.gov)

NJBPU Consultants

Andrew Grant  
The Cadmus Group  
720 SW Washington St., Suite 400  
Portland, OR 97205  
[andrew.grant@cadmusgroup.com](mailto:andrew.grant@cadmusgroup.com)

Jason Meyer  
DNV Energy Insights USA Inc.  
1400 Ravello Rd  
Katy, TX 77449  
[jason.meyer@dnv.com](mailto:jason.meyer@dnv.com)

Pankaj Lal, PhD  
Cassidy Rucker  
Montclair State University  
426 Center for Environment & Life Sciences  
Montclair, NJ 07043  
[lalp@montclair.edu](mailto:lalp@montclair.edu)  
[rucker@montclair.edu](mailto:rucker@montclair.edu)

Jennifer Senick  
Rutgers Center for Green Building  
Edward J. Bloustein School of Planning & Public  
Policy  
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey  
33 Livingston Ave #158  
New Brunswick, NJ 08901  
[jsenick@rutgers.edu](mailto:jsenick@rutgers.edu)

Lisa Skumatz  
Skumatz Economic Research Associates  
PO Box 1486  
Silverthorne, CO 80498  
[skumatz@serainc.com](mailto:skumatz@serainc.com)

**New Jersey Division of Law**  
Department of Law & Public Safety  
R.J. Hughes Justice Complex, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor West  
25 Market Street  
Post Office Box 112  
Trenton, NJ 08625-0112

Daren Eppley, Section Chief, DAG  
[daren.eppley@law.njoag.gov](mailto:daren.eppley@law.njoag.gov)

Pamela Owen, Assistant Section Chief, DAG  
[pamela.owen@law.njoag.gov](mailto:pamela.owen@law.njoag.gov)

Matko Ilic, DAG  
[matko.ilic@law.njoag.gov](mailto:matko.ilic@law.njoag.gov)

Steven A. Chaplar, DAG  
[steven.chaplar@law.njoag.gov](mailto:steven.chaplar@law.njoag.gov)

**New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel**

140 East Front Street, 4th Floor  
Post Office Box 003  
Trenton, NJ 08625-0003

Brian Lipman, Esq., Director  
[blipman@rpa.nj.gov](mailto:blipman@rpa.nj.gov)

Maura Caroselli, Esq., Managing Attorney  
[mcaroselli@rpa.nj.gov](mailto:mcaroselli@rpa.nj.gov)

Sarah H. Steindel, Esq.  
[ssteinde@rpa.nj.gov](mailto:ssteinde@rpa.nj.gov)

Megan Lupo, Esq.  
[mlupo@rpa.nj.gov](mailto:mlupo@rpa.nj.gov)

Mamie W. Purnell, Esq.  
[mpurnell@rpa.nj.gov](mailto:mpurnell@rpa.nj.gov)

Carlana Morrison, Paralegal  
[cmorrison@rpa.nj.gov](mailto:cmorrison@rpa.nj.gov)

Terrence Coleman, Paralegal  
[tcoleman2@rpa.nj.gov](mailto:tcoleman2@rpa.nj.gov)

Rate Counsel Consultants

Robert J. Henkes  
Henkes Consulting  
7 Sunset Road  
Old Greenwich, CT 06870  
[rhenkes@optonline.net](mailto:rhenkes@optonline.net)

Applied Economics Clinic  
6 Liberty Square PMB 98162  
Boston, MA 02109

Elizabeth A. Stanton, PhD  
[liz.stanton@aeclinic.org](mailto:liz.stanton@aeclinic.org)

Ms. Sumera Patel  
[Sumera.patel@aeclinic.org](mailto:Sumera.patel@aeclinic.org)

Ms. Jordan M. Burt  
[jordan.burt@aeclinic.org](mailto:jordan.burt@aeclinic.org)

Ms. Tanya Stasio  
[tanya.stasio@aeclinic.org](mailto:tanya.stasio@aeclinic.org)

Rate Counsel Consultants, cont'd.

Acadian Consulting Group  
5800 One Perkins Place Drive  
Building 5, Suite F  
Baton Rouge, LA 70808

David Dismukes, Ph.D.  
[daviddismukes@acadianconsulting.com](mailto:daviddismukes@acadianconsulting.com)

Mr. Michael Deupree  
[michaeldeupree@acadianconsulting.com](mailto:michaeldeupree@acadianconsulting.com)

Ms. Nicolas Alvarez  
[nicolasalvarez@acadianconsulting.com](mailto:nicolasalvarez@acadianconsulting.com)

Mr. David Kantrow  
[davidkantow@acadianconsulting.com](mailto:davidkantow@acadianconsulting.com)

Mr. Taylor Deshotels  
[taylorshotels@acadianconsulting.com](mailto:taylorshotels@acadianconsulting.com)

Mr. Roberto Hasbun  
[robertohasbun@acadianconsulting.com](mailto:robertohasbun@acadianconsulting.com)

Ms. Emily Mouch  
[emilymouch@acadianconsulting.com](mailto:emilymouch@acadianconsulting.com)

Mr. Tyler French  
[tylerfrench@acadianconsulting.com](mailto:tylerfrench@acadianconsulting.com)

Mr. Jackson Gaspard  
[jacksongaspard@acadianconsulting.com](mailto:jacksongaspard@acadianconsulting.com)

**New Jersey Natural Gas Company**  
1415 Wyckoff Road  
P.O. Box 1464  
Wall, New Jersey 07719

Andrew K. Dembia  
Regulatory Affairs Counsel  
[adembia@njng.com](mailto:adembia@njng.com)

Mark G. Kahrer  
[mkahrer@njng.com](mailto:mkahrer@njng.com)

James Corcoran  
[jcorcoran@njng.com](mailto:jcorcoran@njng.com)

Anne-Marie Peracchio  
[aperacchio@njng.com](mailto:aperacchio@njng.com)

Tina Trebino  
[ttrebino@njng.com](mailto:ttrebino@njng.com)

Judy DeSalvatore  
[jdesalvatore@njng.com](mailto:jdesalvatore@njng.com)

Todd Van Aken  
[tvanaken@njng.com](mailto:tvanaken@njng.com)

New Jersey Natural Gas Company, cont'd.

Susan Fastuca  
[sfantuca@njng.com](mailto:sfantuca@njng.com)

Mary Lou Pardey  
[mpardeym@njng.com](mailto:mpardeym@njng.com)

**Atlantic City Electric Company**  
Philip J. Passanante, Esq.  
Assistant General Counsel  
92DC42  
500 North Wakefield Drive  
P.O. Box 6066  
Newark, DE 19702-6066  
[philip.passanante@pepcoholdings.com](mailto:philip.passanante@pepcoholdings.com)

**Elizabethtown Gas Company & South Jersey Gas Company**  
Sheree Kelly, Esq., Regulatory Affairs Counsel  
South Jersey Industries  
520 Green Lane  
Union, NJ 07083  
[skelly@sjindustries.com](mailto:skelly@sjindustries.com)

**Jersey Central Power & Light Company**  
Michael Martelo, Esq., Attorney  
300 Madison Avenue  
P.O. Box 1911  
Morristown, NJ 07962-1911  
[mmartelo@firstenergycorp.com](mailto:mmartelo@firstenergycorp.com)

**Public Service Electric and Gas Company**  
Stacey M. Mickles, Associate Counsel – Regulatory  
Law Department  
PSEG Services Corporation  
80 Park Plaza – T10  
Newark, New Jersey 07102-4194  
[stacey.mickles@pseg.com](mailto:stacey.mickles@pseg.com)

**Rockland Electric Company**  
Margaret Comes, Esq., Associate Counsel  
4 Irving Place  
New York, New York 10003  
[comesm@coned.com](mailto:comesm@coned.com)

**Eastern Environmental Law Center**  
One Gateway Center, Suite 2600  
Newark, NJ 07102

Kaitlin Morrison, Esq.  
[kmorrison@easternenvironmental.org](mailto:kmorrison@easternenvironmental.org)

Maggie Broughton, Esq.  
[mbroughton@easternenvironmental.org](mailto:mbroughton@easternenvironmental.org)

**Energy Efficiency Alliance of New Jersey**

John M. Kolesnik, Esq., Policy Counsel  
701 E. Gate Dr.  
Mt. Laurel, NJ 08054  
[jkolesnik@eeaofnj.org](mailto:jkolesnik@eeaofnj.org)

**New Jersey Large Energy Users Coalition**

Steven S. Goldenberg, Esq.  
Giordano, Halleran & Ciesla, P.C.  
125 Half Mile Road, Suite 300  
Red Bank, NJ 07701-6777  
[sgoldenberg@ghclaw.com](mailto:sgoldenberg@ghclaw.com)

**Uplight**

Norris McLaughlin, P.A.  
400 Crossing Boulevard, 8th Floor  
P.O. Box 5933  
Bridgewater, NJ 08807

James H. Laskey, Esq.  
[jlasky@norris-law.com](mailto:jlasky@norris-law.com)

Anthony D'Elia  
[adelia@norris-law.com](mailto:adelia@norris-law.com)

Neil Veilleux  
Uplight Inc.  
2350 Junction Pl, Ste 200  
Boulder, CO 80301  
[neil.veilleux@uplight.com](mailto:neil.veilleux@uplight.com)